

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| 10/789,218 | 02/27/2004 | Dennis Redman | 52067/MEG/B603 | 9117 |
| 23363 75 | 90 08/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP | | | TYLER, STEPHANIE E | |
| PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3754 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006 | DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/789,218 | REDMAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Stephanie E. Tyler | 3754 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2006. | | | | | | |
| <i>;</i> — | · · · | | | | | |
| S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 37 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | _ | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) | | | | | |
| Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/2004. | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Art Unit: 3754

Detailed Action

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the link adapter (302) and valves 304,306 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,218 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bobrick (1,586,397) and Davis (4,606,085), and Ramacier, Jr. et al. (5,494,074).

Bobrick discloses everything as claimed except for the collapsible fluid container and the valve arrangement having a first valve portion and a second valve portion that is actuated for connecting and disconnecting the flow from the liquid container to the flow tubes. Davies teaches a dispensing system which provides soap in a "...throw away sealed plastic bag in a cardboard box 20, ...(column 4, lines 63-66). Ramacier, Jr. et al. teaches to provide an adaptor (48) having a valve (40) with a first valve portion (56) and a second valve portion (58) that is matable to the first valve portion (Figs. 1 and 2). The first valve portion includes a female coupling member (44). The second valve portion is connected to the female coupling member and the male coupling member (42) to connect/disconnect a fluid passageway therein (column 1, lines 52-53, 68, column 2, lines 1-10,23-30, column 5 lines 2-68, column 6 lines 1-13).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the dispensing system of Bobrick by substituting the collapsible soap container within a cardboard box of Davies for the rigid container in

Application/Control Number: 10/789,218 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

the liquid feed system of Bobrick in order to simplify the servicing of the soap dispensing system by providing a throw away container as taught by Davies, and to have provided the dispensing system of Bobrick with a valve arrangement in the form of a line coupled to a first valve portion and a second valve portion as taught by Ramacier, Jr. et al. in order to permit the control of fluid flow between the container and the dispenser.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments filed May 12, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant states that claim 37 is patentable over one or a combination of Bobrick, Davies, Knibb and Ramacier Jr. et al. However the applicant does not explain why the claim is patentable of the prior art, therefore the Office deems the argument as not persuasive.
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 37 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/789,218

Art Unit: 3754

9,218 Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie E. Tyler whose telephone number is 571-272-8059. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached on 571-272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SET

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700